

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/26/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/740,913	12/20/2000	Masaya Wajima	36856.390	5944	
75	590 02/26/2003				
Keating & Bennett LLP			EXAMINER		
10400 Eaton Place, Suite 312 Fairfax, VA 22030			BUDD, MARI	BUDD, MARK OSBORNE	
	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834	-	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s) Wajima of al				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit				
	M. B.	2834				
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—						
Period for Reply	3					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuent Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	bly within the statutory mining expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to	mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. b become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on	02					
This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims -4, 7, 9, 11-15, 18						
Claim(s) 1-4, 1, 9, 11-15, 18	9 00	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
□ Claim(s) 1-4 D 0 11 15 19 & 20	is/are allowed.					
Claim(s) 1-4, 7, 9, 11-15, 18 \$ 20	us/are rejected.					
☐ Claim(s)						
☐ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election requirement				
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.						
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner						
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)						
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a))–(d).				
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:						
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received						
in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) *Certified copies not received:						
Attachment(s)						
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	(s). 13(12-23-02) []	nterview Summary, PTO-413				
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application,						
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Other □						
Office Action Summary						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/740,913

Art Unit: 2834

Claims 1-4, 7, 9, 11-15, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Kuroda Wajima or Sugiyama in view of Tsuji or Onishi for the explicit reasons set forth in paper No 12 (9-18-02). The recitation added to claims 1 and 11 specifying a particular deflection strength are not seen as patentably distinguishing from the combined prior art. It has long been held that optimization of a known device is within the skill expected of the routineer. Thus to select a specific deflection strength based on job dictates would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Note the deflection strength cannot be considered of critical importance as it has only casual mention in the original disclosure and was not included in any claims prior to the amendment filed 12-23-02.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

MARK JOHDD PRIMARY EXAMINER ART HNIT 212